

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 10/072,907 02/12/2002 Soo Seok Choi 1567.1022 3556 EXAMINER 49455 7590 09/20/2005 STEIN, MCEWEN & BUI, LLP ALEJANDRO, RAYMOND 1400 EYE STREET, NW ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER **SUITE 300** WASHINGTON, DC 20005 1745

DATE MAILED: 09/20/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Amelia dia Na	Applicant/s)	
Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/072,907	CHOI ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Raymond Alejandro	1745	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>27 July 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.			
1. 🛛 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of			
this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:			
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.			
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have			
been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened standard in the case of the shortened standard in the case of the case	and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	on fee under 37 as set forth in (b)
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).			
AMENDMENTS			
3. X The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because			
(a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);			
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);			
(c)∐ They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or			
(d) \square They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.			
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).			
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):			
5. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: 1-17, 38 and 39.			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>29-37</u> . <u>AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE</u>			
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b	ut before or on the date of filing a t	Votice of Anneal will r	not be entered
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
P. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1). 10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.			
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER			
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:			
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s) (RTQ/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s)			
13. Souther: See Continuation Sheet.	WV/ aper		

Raymond Alejandro Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1745 Continuation of 3. NOTE: new issue: (claim 1) deletion of the limitation "a material" and its implication. Specifically, claim 1 now appears not to require the inclusion of a secondary material (other than the positive active material) per se having pores. That is, it appears that the positive active material itself now has pores. This amendment definitely changes the scope of the present claims. New Matter: (Specification) applicant has amended the specification to delete "Comparative Example 2" to read as "Example 6" in order to overcome the 112 issues. Nevertheless, this amendment appears to introduce new matter into the specification as the specification as filed did not contemplate the embodiment/example of Comparative Example 2 to be a preferred embodiment. Actually, the teachings of Comparative Example 2 were used to demonstrate why other preferred embodiments exhibited superior performance. This new matter issue requires additional consideration.

Continuation of 13. Other: Approval of Drawing Changes for Figure 5 represents a new matter issue (same as the amendment to the specification).

RAYMOND & EJANDR